## Date: December 2, 2010 **Recovery Oriented System of Care** Time: 9:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m. **Transformation Steering Committee** Location: Aeronautics Auditorium, **Meeting** Lansing, Michigan Bureau of Substance Abuse and Meeting Called By: Type of Meeting: **Quarterly Meeting** Addiction Services **Facilitator:** Ijeoma Achara and Joan King Note Taker: Jeff Wieferich Timekeeper:

Attendees: Ijeoma Achara, Ron Brown, Norm Delisle, Kari Gulvas (for Joe Sedlock), Yarrow Halstead, Joyce Foster-Hartsfield, Mike Head, Denise Herbert, Deborah Hollis, Joan King, Steve Logan, Lisa Miller, Pamela Pellerito w/Kim Rychener, Rabbi Yisrael Pinson, Elke Plescher, Sam Price, Dawn Radzioch, Marci Scalera, Larry Scott, Felix Sharpe, Mark Steinberg, Gary VanNorman, Brad VanZanten, Joyce Washburn, Pam Werner, Jeffery Wieferich, Steve Wiland, Grady Wilkinson, Mark Witte, Jackie Wood, and Cathy Worthem.

## **Minutes**

Agenda Item:	Welcome and Introductions	Presenters:	Jeff Wieferich

**Discussion:** The meeting started at 9:05 am. Everyone was welcomed. Steve Wiland, the new TSC representative from mental health was introduced. Jeff Wieferich provided a brief description of the handout for the proposed webpage. Any comments or questions should be directed to Jeff Wieferich in the Bureau of Substance Abuse and Addiction Services (BSAAS).

Conclusions: N/A

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
☐ Comments on webpage design/layout to BSAAS	TSC	12-10-10

Agenda Item:	Dialogue on the Transformation Implementation Plan	Presenters:	Ijeoma Achara Joan King
--------------	--	-------------	----------------------------

**Discussion:** A discussion on the completed draft of the implementation plan was initiated with the group. The focus was for initial impressions and feedback on the document and the following comments were received:

Grady Wilkinson – page 20, #15 seems redundant with number three on the guiding principles.

**Brad VanZanten** – it gives criminal justice some ideas for increasing dialogue and it looks like there are many items that we will be able to address at the same time. Criminal justice will need to have specific goals/strategies for that system to get involved.

**Gary VanNorman** – on page 24 – when does the 36 months start? When will we know how we are doing as we have been here a year already?

Mark Witte – in the description of transformation, what do we mean by "system"? We need to elaborate on that more. What system are we transforming, and what components are involved? We need to define system. There is an assumption that we are focusing on the publicly funded system.

**Grady Wilkinson** – if this is right for the publicly funded system then it should be right for everyone.

Norm DeLisle – we need a lot of opportunities for collaboration and need to be sure to include corrections.

**Pam Werner** – the role of the consumer was not as interwoven as it should be, peers are mentioned in parts but it needs to promote clients more so that it shows the people's voice is in it.

Ron Brown – agrees with Pam and indicates we need to more progressively plan on linkages with other systems.

**Lisa Miller** – agrees with the feedback presented so far. We need to look at our own sphere of influence and remember that, as we progress, the sphere will grow – need to allow the process to work itself and try not to push it.

## **Conclusions:**

After further discussion throughout the day, it was decided that the 36 months reflected in the plan started on February 17, 2010, when the TSC was first brought together.

It was decided that the system we are referring to is the publicly funded system that we are able to impact. The plan provides strategies for impacting other systems as guidance and we have to believe that as we improve our system, it will carry on to the rest of the substance use disorder (SUD) service system.

Another goal will be developed that will have a stronger focus on infusing the various systems that we will be impacting and have specific strategies for doing so.

	Action items	Person Resp	onsible	Deadline
☐ Edits will be	made to the plan based on the feedback	Ijeoma Achara		12-31-10
Agenda Item:	Small Group Work on Prioritization of Plan Strategies and Reporting Out	Presenters:	All	

**Discussion:** Two work groups were established for the purpose of reviewing the strategies in the implementation plan and choosing the priorities that the group wants to focus on. Significant edits were made by both groups in terms of combining strategies, eliminating goals, establishing new goals and creating new objectives.

**Conclusions:** Due to the significant amount of work done by each group, there was not enough time for each group to report-out on the decisions that were made. The groups agreed to have Joan and Ijeoma add the appropriate information to the plan and feedback will be obtained after it is sent back for review.

	Action items	S Person Responsible		Deadline
☐ Edits will be	made to the plan based on group work	Ijeoma Achara Joan King		12-31-10
Agenda Item:	Financing ROSC Transformation Efforts	Presenters:	Ijeoma Acl Joan King	nara

**Discussion:** Financing efforts for ROSC related activities are a difficult topic to address, as there is not yet the necessary alignment at the federal level to provide needed support and guidance. Funding efforts in Connecticut and Philadelphia centered on identifying target populations that they wanted to address – as cost savings were realized, financing efforts could be put in place. Many of the fiscal strategies ended up being more community and individual based as opposed to statewide strategies. Significant group discussion took place on this topic in an effort to try to identify where Michigan could start to focus.

**Conclusions:** These are identified as future areas to focus on:

We need to know what to offer as incentives to providers in an effort to encourage change. Need to look at alternative payment measures and move away from fee for service. Utilizing pilot projects will help to build support and will be able to provide supporting data. In the future, we need to refer to a more efficient use of resources, as opposed to cost savings, in other words, the value we look for should be in the outcome.

	Action items	Person Resp	onsible	Deadline
□ N/A		N/A		N/A
Agenda Item:	Report on the ROSC Benefit Plan	Presenters:	Benefits W Members	orkgroup

Presenters were Mark Steinberg, Mark Witte, Sam Price, Marci Scalera and Grady Wilkinson

**Discussion:** The TSC was provided a document that was created by this group that describes a full range of benefits for behavioral health, not just SUD. The plan includes items that were looked at in terms of enhancing outcomes and was not developed from a funding perspective. Significant discussion took place at this point regarding the feasibility and reality of being able to be put this plan in place in Michigan, given our economic situation, since money was not considered when it was developed.

Conclusions: Below reflects what needs to happen in order to make the plan more effective for moving forward:

Need to make sure that items are defined so that they will be understood by everyone, demonstrate and provide evidence that services are cost effective, remove any services that can be provided by other organizations, simplify as much as possible to make it easier to follow/understand, and identify what services we already cover versus anything new that should be added to support recovery.

Action items		Person Responsible		Deadline	
☐ Group is goir	Group is going to get back together and make changes based on feedback  Mark Steinberg				
		<b>D</b>	T G		
Agenda Item:	State Epidemiological Outcomes Workgroup Grant Information	Presenters:	Larry Scott		

**Discussion:** Group was informed about BSAAS receiving a federal grant for a State Epidemiological Outcomes Workgroup, which is a three-year grant for \$200,000 per year. The workgroup that will be developed for this will report to the TSC on activities and will be a significant support for the creation of Prevention Prepared Communities.

Conclusions: N/A

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
□ N/A	N/A	N/A

Agenda Item:	ROSC Workgroup Updates	<b>Presenters:</b>	Joyce Washburn
			Lisa Miller

## **Discussion:**

**Peer-Based Recovery Support Group** – Joyce Washburn reported on the progress of the group to date. They are currently reviewing four different recovery-coach training curriculums to determine which could serve Michigan best. Once decided, it will be reviewed by mental health to determine how much overlap there is with the peer support specialist curriculum being used. The group was asked to consider two items to assist the workgroup in their focus: Do we want a certification or credential for recovery coaches and do we want a curriculum that is the public sector or do we want to purchase one from a private organization.

Glossary of Terms Group – Lisa Miller provided an update on where the group is with the SUD and mental health review of the proposed glossary. The group has met three times and is probably one third of the way through the document – it is much more time consuming than anticipated. The goal is to have it completed by the next TSC meeting in February.

**Conclusions:** This reflects conclusions for the recovery support workgroup:

The TSC unanimously agreed that a certification should be utilized for recovery coaches – not a credential. Although this decision was made, there was no decision made on who will provide the certification (Michigan, California or someone else) until more information is gathered on the cost related to curriculums. The TSC is going to wait on a recommendation regarding curriculum until more information is provided from the workgroup.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
☐ The recovery support workgroup will finalize a recommendation for a curriculum	Lisa Miller	1-31-11

Agenda Item:	Overview of Telehealth	<b>Presenters:</b>	Sam Price

**Discussion:** A draft plan was shared with the TSC that describes a process for making telehealth services more readily available throughout Michigan. It was developed by a group from Michigan (that included TSC members) who went to a conference in Chicago on this topic. The proposal asks that a workgroup be established that will report to the TSC as telehealth will increase access to services and this is one of the goals of ROSC.

Conclusions: The TSC supported the establishment of this workgroup and having it as part of the implementation plan as well.

	Action items	Person Resp	ponsible	Deadline
☐ A new strate	gy will be added to the plan to reflect this change	Ijeoma Achara		12-31-10
Agenda Item:	Prioritizing Next Steps	Presenters:	Jeff Wiefe Ijeoma Ac Joan King	
Also informed the since we initially to add additional.  The TSC acknown at the end of the		commit to another y gated to re-commit	ear of servi- and BSAAS	ce on the TSC will be seeking
Conclusions: N	Action items	Person Res	oonsible	Deadline
☐ Comments of accepted for	lirectly to Ijeoma or Joan on the implementation plan will be	Group		12-10-10
	Other Information			
Next Meeting:	February 24, 2011, at the Okemos Conference Center, 2187 Ur	niversity Park Drive	e, Okemos, I	Michigan 48864
Resources:	Information on ROSC related topics can be found on the Great <a href="http://www.attcnetwork.org/regcenters/index">http://www.attcnetwork.org/regcenters/index</a> greatlakes.asp.	Lakes ATTC webs	site, at	
Special Notes:	A revised implementation plan will be sent to BSAAS by the effor review and feedback.	nd of December an	d forwarded	on to the TSC